UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,919	12/11/2001	Andrew B. Baker	22224-05648	9981
758 FENWICK & V	7590 11/09/2019 VEST LLP	EXAMINER		
SILICON VAL		KRAMER, JAMES A		
801 CALIFORNIA STREET MOUNTAIN VIEW, CA 94041			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/09/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Notice of Panel Decision</b>		
from Pre-Appeal Brief		
Review		

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/014,919	BAKER ET AL.  Art Unit	
JAY A. KRAMER	3693	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for R	Review filed .				
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):</li> </ol>	and a conference will not be held for the following				
☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cond☐ The request does not include reasons why a☐ A proposed amendment is included with the☐ Other:	review is appropriate.				
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance we brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this crunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which	terferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period chever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the dupon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date				
☐ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: 11-30 and 34-51. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	claim(s) is as follows:				
3. Allowable application – A conference has bee Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.					
4. ☐ <b>Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference has been action will be mailed. No further action is required by					
All participants:					
(1) <u>JAY A. KRAMER /jak/</u> .	(3)Vincent Millin /vm/				
(2) <u>Alex Kalinowski</u> .	Appeals Conference Specialist. (4)				
/A. K./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3691					